ROESLER

"On information and belief,
however, certain of the photographs the
defendants purport to license to third parties
are in the public domain and can freely be used
by such licensees without defendants' consent."

What photographs are you talking
about?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- Q. That are owned by my clients.
- A. The photographs that were published without notice.
 - Q. What are they?

 MR. MINCH: Objection.
- A. The same photographs that we keep talking about.
 - Q. The ones that you can't identify?

 MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. That I can't identify at this moment.
- Q. If we read on, "Defendants have refused to recognize that certain photographs of Marilyn Monroe allegedly owned and controlled by defendants are in the public

ROESLER

domain and defendants have asserted that plaintiffs are prohibited from using such photographs without defendants' consent." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So we're clear, "defendants" is referred to as my clients here; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Because this is the Indiana action where we were the defendants?
- A. That's correct.
 - MS. COLBATH: Objection.
- MR. MINCH: Objection.
- Q. What is the basis for that
- 16 statement?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

- MS. COLBATH: Are you asking what is the basis? Caption of Southern

 District.
- MR. MINCH: This isn't Indiana. I think that's a mischaracterization.
 - Q. You know what I meant, don't you?

 MR. MINCH: How do you know?
 - MR. SERBAGI: I'm talking to --
 - Q. You know what I meant, don't you,

ROESLER

when I said this is derived from the Indiana action?

- A. Okay. Why don't you redo your question.
- Q. Well, I think we have it on the record. All I'm really getting at here is defendants -- the word "defendants" in this paragraph refers to my clients; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, again, what I want to know is the basis for the following statement:
 "Defendants have refused to recognize that certain photographs of Marilyn Monroe allegedly owned and controlled by the defendants are in the public domain, and defendants have asserted that plaintiffs are prohibited from using such photographs without defendants' consent." What is the basis for that statement?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

A. The basis is the activities of the licensing representative for the Shaw Family that asserts that they have the right to give out licenses independent of any rights to the

ROESLER

Marilyn Monroe LLC.

- Q. When you say the activities of the licensing representatives for the Shaw Family, when you refer to licensing representative, I assume you're referring to Bradford; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And when you say the basis is the activities of Bradford, what do you mean? What activities are you referring to?
- A. Their representations to other parties.
- Q. Who made the representations at Bradford?

- A. Various promotions. Just their daily activities of holding themselves out as possessing these rights to -- to be able to license out Marilyn Monroe, completely independent of Marilyn Monroe LLC.
- Q. Who made the representations you're referring to?
- A. All I can say is the company of
 Bradford. I mean, whether it's their presence

ROESLER

2.4

at a trade show or their various promotional pieces or communications with licensees. All of that, collectively, would be their representations.

- Q. I guess I'm trying to get -- maybe this is something that you can't recall, either, but I'm trying to get to the particulars of the representations that were made. Can you tell me what exactly the representation is? When it was made?
 - A. I can't --

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. I can't give you those specifics.
- Q. So the record is clear, when you say, in the -- when CMG says, in the Second Amended Complaint, "Defendants have refused to recognize that certain photographs of Marilyn Monroe allegedly owned and controlled by defendants are in the public domain and defendants have asserted that plaintiffs are prohibited from using any such photographs without defendants' consent," you can't give me any particulars about the basis for that

ROESLER

statement; is that right?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

A. Beyond what I gave you, that's correct.

Q. You can't identify the particular photographs that my clients have refused to recognize -- rephrase. You can't identify the particular photographs of Marilyn Monroe that my clients have refused to recognize are owned and controlled by defendants; correct?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

A. Not at this moment in this deposition.

Q. Let's go on. The rest of paragraph 4 says, "But for defendants' false claims of ownership of copyrights in and to photographs of Marilyn Monroe that have, on information and belief, entered the public domain, plaintiffs would seek to utilize such public domain photographs commercially in connection with goods and services that are officially authorized and/or licensed by plaintiffs."

ROESLER

What is the basis for that statement?

- A. I believe what that would mean is, except for the claim of the defendants in this case, that they have valid copyrights. If they acknowledged they were in the public domain, we would encourage licensees to use those photos.
- Q. Let's get into the details of that. You say, "but for defendants' false claim of ownership of copyrights in and to photographs of Marilyn Monroe." Start with that. What are the false claims of ownership of copyrights in and to photographs of Marilyn Monroe that you're referring to? When I say "you," I mean CMG.
- A. The licensing activities of the Shaw Family and the licensing representative.
- Q. What particular copyrights are you referring to in this sentence that I just read that you say we falsely claim ownership to?
- A. The various photographs that have been previously published.
 - Q. Can you name, sitting here today,

ROESLER

the title of one copyright registration that we have falsely claimed ownership rights to?

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- A. Not sitting here today.
- Q. And would the same answer apply to the rest of the sentence, that sitting here today, you can't provide me any information regarding the part of the sentence that reads, "Plaintiffs would seek to utilize such public domain photographs commercially in connection with goods and services that are officially authorized or licensed by plaintiffs"?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

Q. Let me strike the question and reask it. With respect to that portion I just read into the record, what photographs of Marilyn Monroe would CMG and MMLLC seek to utilize that they haven't utilized because the Shaw Family has asserted rights to them?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

Q. Sitting here today, can you name one?

ROESLER

A. Any that would be clearly recognized or acknowledged to be in the public domain.

Q. Sitting here today, can you identify one of those registrations or images of Marilyn Monroe?

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- A. Not sitting here at this moment.
- Q. Turning to page 6, please, under the heading "Count 1." Looking at paragraph 29, would you read that into the record, please, sir.
- A. "Defendants have refused to acknowledge that any of the works that comprise the Monroe/Shaw photographs and/or the Shaw collection have entered the public domain."
- Q. What's the basis for that statement, sir?

- A. The basis would be that they claim valid copyright ownership to photographs that have been published without notice.
 - Q. Which photographs are you talking

ROESLER

about? Again, you don't recall?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- A. Again, the various photographs that appear in the various publications of Photoplay.
- Q. But just for the record, so it's clear, you can't identify them, can you?
 - A. At this moment --

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- Q. At this point.
- A. At this point in the deposition, that's correct.
- Q. If you read paragraph 30 into the record and tell me the basis for that statement.
- A. "On information and belief, Shaw and/or Bradford communicated to licensees, potential licensees, business partners, and/or potential business partners that such licensees, potential licensees, business partners require the prior permission and consent from Shaw and/or Bradford prior to utilizing the

1	ROESLER
2	public domain Monroe/Shaw photographs."
3	Q. What's the basis?
4	MR. MINCH: Objection.
5	A. Again, the licensing activities of
6	Bradford.
7	Q. Can you give me any particulars
8	other than just saying the licensing activities
9	of Bradford?
10	MS. COLBATH: Objection.
11	MR. MINCH: Objection.
12	A. Just the fact they're holding
13	theirselves out to represent these photographs.
14	Q. When you say "these photographs,"
15	can you, sitting here today, identify what you
16	mean by "these photographs" other than what
17	you've already stated?
18	MR. MINCH: Objection.
19	A. Not other than what I've already
20	stated.
21	Q. You say here that Shaw, under
22	Bradford, have communicated to CMG business
23	partners let me strike that.
24	(Discussion off the record.)
25	Q. So we're clear, other than the

Q. So we're clear, other than the

ROESLER

2.4

generalized testimony you've provided already with respect to paragraph 30, you can't provide me any other basis for the statements that are contained in there?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Turn to paragraph 31. Please read that into the record.
- A. "On information and belief, defendants have actively discouraged licensees, potential licensees, business partners, and/or potential business partners from entering into any relationship with plaintiffs in connection with products or services that feature certain public domain Monroe/Shaw photographs."
- Q. What's the basis for that statement?

- A. The basis is the reality of what was happening in the marketplace in terms of Bradford discouraging in the various actions of the Shaw Family with respect to various deals that we were working on.
 - Q. Okay. Well, you say that

1 ROESLER 2 defendants -- again, defendants here and 3 throughout refers to my clients; is that 4 correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 "Defendants have actively 7 discouraged licensees," and it goes on, "from 8 entering into relationships with purchasers." 9 Do you see that? 10 Α. That's correct. 11 What particular licensees did my clients actively discourage from entering into 12 13 relationships with plaintiffs? 14 Α. We talked earlier about Dolce & 15 Gabbana. 16 Q. And Frieze. 17 Α. Right. 18 Any others? 0. 19 MR. MINCH: Objection. 20 There are a number of others, yes. Α. 21 0. Can you name some today? 22 MR. MINCH: Objection. 23 Α. I can't name any at this moment.

It goes on, just for the sake of

time, business partners, potential business

24

25

Ο.

ROESLER

partners, so on and so forth. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

(Discussion off the record.)

- A. I'm sorry. I was distracted.
- Q. You see the rest of 31? I had narrowed the last question to licensees.
 - A. Right. Right.
- Q. It goes on to talk about potential licensees, business partners, potential business partners that we purportedly discourage from entering into relationships.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Other than Frieze and Dolce & Gabbana, can you, sitting here today, identify any for us?

- A. No, I can't identify their names at this moment.
- Q. And other than what you've testified to already, can you identify the particulars of any representations my clients have purportedly made to all the entities that are identified in 31 concerning rights to images of Marilyn Monroe?

ROESLER

A. None other than what I've testified to.

- Q. And if you look at paragraph 32, please. If you could read that to yourself.
 - A. Read it to myself?
- Q. Yes. You can read 32 to yourself, please.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It says, "Plaintiffs have been and continue to be injured by defendants' conduct in inducing or attempting to induce plaintiffs' licensees, potential licensees" -- and it goes on. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Other than what you've already testified to, do you have any more information to provide for us that would provide a basis for the allegations in paragraph 32?
- A. Nothing other than what I've already discussed.
- Q. How has CMG been injured by the plaintiffs' conduct?
 - A. There are many -MR. MINCH: Objection.

183 1 ROESLER 2 Α. There are many --Let me rephrase it for the record. 3 Q. How has CMG been injured, as referred to in 4 5 paragraph 32, by my clients' conduct? 6 There are many programs that have 7 not happened because of the conflict with 8 Bradford and Shaw. 9 O. Let's talk about that. What programs are you referring to? 10 11 MR. MINCH: Objection. 12 Α. There are many of them. I can't 13 tell you, off the top of my head, all of them. I mean, but they're --14 15 Q. Let's talk about one. 16 Frieze would be one. 17 0. Other than Frieze and Dolce. 18 MR. MINCH: Objection. 19 Let me rephrase the question to Q. 20 make it easy. 21 Α. You're right on Dolce. 22 Q. Dolce went ahead. 23 Α. That's correct.

So that wasn't a relationship that

24

25

was terminated; correct?

ROESLER

- A. That's correct. They'd have to be -- someone else would have to testify as to those specifics.
 - O. What specifics?
- A. On the specific companies that haven't entered into arrangements because of the situation with Bradford and --
- Q. So the record is clear, sitting here today, you can't name any, can you?

 MR. MINCH: Objection.
 - O. Other than Frieze.
- A. You said the record is clear I can't name any, and then you said other than Frieze. You've got Frieze. You've got -- there's a party good company. I mean, no, I don't have specific names other than Frieze.
- Q. Since you can't name the companies other than Frieze, sitting here today, you don't know whether MMLLC has any relationships with those companies, do you?

- A. You mean if they didn't proceed?
- Q. Right. Let me rephrase the question. I want to get back to a question I

ROESLER

asked earlier. I said, "How has CMG been injured as referenced to paragraph 32 by my clients' conduct?"

You answered, "There are many of them. I can't tell you, off the top of my head, all of them," and we went on from there.

I want to make clear for the record that, sitting here today, you can't name any particular companies that did not enter a relationship with MMLLC pertaining to the licensing of images of Marilyn Monroe because of the conduct of my clients.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- A. Well, I think that we just answered that with respect to we said other than Frieze.
- Q. Other than Frieze, there are no others that you can think of, sitting here today?
- A. That I can name, sitting here today.

MR. SERBAGI: We have to go off the record. The tape has run out.

ROESLER

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record at approximately 4:09.

(A brief recess was taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 4 of the deposition of Mark Roesler. We are now on the record at approximately 4:19 p.m.

- Q. Turning to paragraph 31 again, Mr. Roesler, where it says that my clients "have actively discouraged licensees, potential licensees," and it goes on, "from entering into relationships with plaintiffs." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Sitting here today, you can't identify the particular photographs that we purportedly discouraged these entities from using, can you?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Or the particular books at issue.

 MR. MINCH: Objection.
- A. Or the particular -- what?
- Q. Or the titles of the books that we

ROESLER

purportedly discouraged those entities, licensees, potential licensees of CMG and MMLLC from using.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. I'm not sure I understand your question on the books.
- Q. I'll rephrase it. I want to read back my prior question and answer so we have a context. I asked you, "Sitting here today, you can't identify the particular photographs that we purportedly discouraged these entities from using, can you?" And you said, "That's correct."

I want to make it clear on the record that looking at paragraph 31, can you identify the title of any Marilyn Monroe -- let me rephrase. Can you identify any specific image of Marilyn Monroe that my clients actively discouraged CMG or MMLLC's licensees from using pertaining to Marilyn Monroe?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. I cannot.
- Q. Let's turn to topic 2 on Schedule A

ROESLER

of what is identified as Roesler 1, namely the 30(b)(6) notice. Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Topic 2 states, "The factual basis for defendants' contention that Marilyn Monroe died a domiciliary of California and not New York." What is CMG's factual basis for contending -- well, let me stop. What is CMG's contention as to where Marilyn Monroe was domiciled when she died?
- A. It was our -- it was our belief when we researched the issue that she was probably domiciled in California at the time of her death. That was our belief.
- Q. And sitting here today, is that your belief? When I say "you," meaning CMG.
 - A. I would say that's our belief, yes.
 - Q. And what is that belief based upon?
- A. Just the knowledge that we know in general about Marilyn Monroe and the knowledge we know about her business affairs.
- Q. Tell me everything that you know that would provide a basis for your belief, sitting here today, that Marilyn Monroe died a